

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,037	10/10/2001	Motomu Toriyama	P21336	5057
7055 7	590 10/29/2003		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			ENATSKY, AARON L	
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	$\overline{\Diamond}$
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/973,037	TORIYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aaron L Enatsky	3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 (October 2001 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· · ·	on of Claims		•			
•	4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/973,037

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,971,856 to Aoyama et al. ("Aoyama") in view of JP Publication No. 10-049416 to Whiting et al. ("Whiting"). Aoyama teaches saving player game data as game progress advances, in a memory card, allowing a player to return to saved game location (1:12-28). Thus the saved game data on a memory card would be read into a main memory when the player decides to access the saved game data. Aoyama does not teach the specific requirements of comparing new data to be saved with existing stored game data. Whiting teaches a common technique used when saving computer data. This technique is taught as comparing existing data to new data to be saved, where only new data different from the old, stored data will be copied (Claim 1). This technique is employed to reduce the time needed to save data, where one would be motivated use the data writing technique taught by Whiting to reduce data writing time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aoyama to use the data writing technique taught by Whiting to increase data writing speed and efficiency.

Aoyama in view of Whiting teaches the limitation as discussed above, but also do not specifically detail the data type saved to a memory card as "translation dictionary data".

However, Aoyama in view of Whiting teaches saving game state data, which would encompass

Application/Control Number: 09/973,037

Art Unit: 3713

all necessary data used by game to define game state. Additionally, absence a showing of criticality, the translation dictionary data is considered analogous game state data taught by Aoyama in view of Whiting. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to save translation dictionary data in the memory card to insure game state recovery.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Pat. No. 6,009,458 to Hawkins et al. teaches saving game data state and game attributes earned and developed by a player.

US Pat. No. 6,527,641 to Sinclair et al. teaches a network game system that saves game state, allowing a player to resume a game.

Conclusion

In regard to Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement, Examiner acknowledges receipt of form PTO-1449. However, the submission did not garner a full review as the disclosed documents do not appear to have been submitted with PTO-1449. Resubmission of the missing documents will be necessary for full consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron L Enatsky whose telephone number is 703-305-3525. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-6 M-Th.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,037

Art Unit: 3713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Aaron Enatsky October 21, 2003

> Teresa Walberg Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700